## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

JOHN KOLOSKY,

Plaintiff,

v.

Case No. 15-CV-3121 (JNE/LIB) ORDER

MINNESOTA STATE RETIREMENT SYSTEM; DAVID BERGSTROM, Executive Director; MARY BENNER, Board Chair; TOMMY BELLFIELD, Board Member; BRYAN DAHL, Board Member; CHESTER JORGENSON, Board Member; SALLY OLSEN, Board Member; MICHAEL SCHWEYEN, Board Member; DAVID SENF, Board Member; WES SKOGLUND, Board Member; JOSEPH STRUNK, Board Member; JULIE LEPPINK, Attorney MSRS; and KEVIN FINNERTY, Office of the Attorney General.

Defendants.

Plaintiff John Kolosky brought this action alleging that the Minnesota State Retirement System and other defendants violated his rights under the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. § 12112, et seq., and 42 U.S.C. § 1983 by declining to provide him an application for state disability benefits because the deadline to apply had passed. Instead of paying the filing fee for this action, Kolosky applied to proceed *in forma pauperis*.

In a Report and Recommendation dated August 4, 2015, the Honorable Leo I. Brisbois, United States Magistrate Judge, recommended that the Complaint be dismissed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(ii) for failure to state a cause of action on which relief may be granted, and that the application to proceed *in forma pauperis* be denied. Kolosky objected to the Report and Recommendation, and Defendants responded.

CASE 0:15-cv-03121-JNE-LIB Document 24 Filed 10/16/15 Page 2 of 2

The Court has conducted a de novo review of the record, reviewing the Magistrate

Judge's analysis, Kolosky's objections, and Defendants' response. The Court acknowledges and

appreciates the objections that Kolosky raised. Nevertheless, the Court adopts the conclusions of

the Report and Recommendation [Docket No. 3], with one exception. The Report and

Recommendation did not specify whether the Complaint would be dismissed with or without

prejudice. There is a presumption that dismissal for failure to state a claim on which relief can

be granted "is a judgment on the merits made with prejudice," unless the court specifies

otherwise. Orr v. Clements, 688 F.3d 463, 465 (8th Cir. 2012). Dismissals for failure to state a

claim upon which relief may be granted should generally be without prejudice, absent persistent

pleading failures. Milliman v. Cnty. of Stearns, Civ. No. 13-136 (DWF/LIB), 2013 WL

5426049, at \*16 (D. Minn. Sept. 26, 2013).

Therefore, IT IS ORDERED THAT:

1. Kolosky's application to proceed *in forma pauperis* [Docket No. 2] is DENIED.

2. The action is summarily DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE pursuant to

28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(ii).

3. Defendants' Motion for Dismissal [Docket No. 8] is DENIED AS MOOT.

LET JUDGMENT BE ENTERED ACCORDINGLY.

Dated: October 16, 2015

s/Joan N. Ericksen
JOAN N. ERICKSEN
United States District Judge